

GETTING THE DEAL THROUGH

Corporate Governance

Board structures and directors' duties
in 33 jurisdictions worldwide

2014



Published by
Getting the Deal Through
in association with:

Amarchand & Mangaldas & Suresh A Shroff & Co

Anderson Mōri & Tomotsune

Arzinger

Badri and Salim El Meouchi Law Firm

Bahar & Partners

Bonn & Schmitt

Carey & Allende Abogados

Cervantes Sainz SC

CMS, China

co-legal

Davis Polk & Wardwell LLP

Delacour

De Luca, Derenusson, Schuttoff e Azevedo Advogados

Duane Morris & Selvam LLP

Goldman Judin Incorporated Attorneys

Hansell LLP

Marval, O'Farrell & Mairal

Mehmet Gün & Partners

METIS Rechtsanwalte LLP

Nishimura & Asahi

Nomos Law Firm

Polenak Law Firm

Popovici Nițu & Asociații

Rentsch Legal

Schellenberg Wittmer Ltd

Shin & Kim

S Horowitz & Co

Sidley Austin LLP

Slaughter and May

Sparke Helmore Lawyers

SRS Advogados

Streamsowers & Kōhn

Ughi e Nunziante – Studio Legale

Vivien & Associés

Corporate Governance 2014

Contributing editor:
Holly Gregory
Sidley Austin LLP

Getting the Deal Through is delighted to publish the fully revised and updated thirteenth edition of *Corporate Governance*, a volume in our series of annual special reports providing comparative international analysis in key areas of law and policy for corporate counsel, cross-border legal practitioners and business people.

Corporate Governance 2014 addresses the most important issues facing corporations in relation to all their stakeholders. This publication examines various issues, including the rights of shareholders, corporate disclosure and transparency, responsibilities of the board and corporate control.

In the format adopted throughout the series, the same key questions are answered by leading practitioners in 33 jurisdictions worldwide. New jurisdictions this year include Argentina, China, Indonesia, Korea and South Africa.

Every effort has been made to ensure that matters of concern to readers are covered. However, specific legal advice should always be sought from experienced local advisers. *Getting the Deal Through* publications are updated annually. Please ensure you are referring to the latest print edition or to the online version at www.GettingTheDealThrough.com.

Getting the Deal Through gratefully acknowledges the efforts of all the contributors to this volume, who were chosen for their recognised expertise. We would like to thank Ira Millstein of Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP for his stewardship of the title over the past twelve years and to acknowledge Rebecca Grapsas of Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP for her kind assistance with this year's questionnaire. We would especially like to thank and acknowledge Holly Gregory of Sidley Austin LLP as contributing editor of this and future editions.

Getting the Deal Through

London
 June 2014

Global Overview	3	Germany	75
Arthur Golden, Thomas Reid, Kyoko Takahashi Lin and Laura Turano Davis Polk & Wardwell LLP		Lars Friske, Bernhard Maluch and Andreas Rasner METIS Rechtsanwälte LLP	
Argentina	8	Greece	84
Pablo García Morillo Marval, O'Farrell & Mairal		George Chatzigiannakis and Maria Vastaroucha Nomos Law Firm	
Australia	15	Hungary	91
Hal Lloyd Sparke Helmore Lawyers		Zoltán Kató and Gyula Kőrösy co-legal	
Brazil	24	India	97
João Claudio De Luca Junior and Guilherme Filardi De Luca, Derenusson, Schuttoff e Azevedo Advogados		Kalpataru Tripathy, Varun Bajaj and Ishita Bhardwaj Amarchand & Mangaldas & Suresh A Shroff & Co	
Canada	30	Indonesia	106
Carol Hansell Hansell LLP		Wahyuni Bahar and Melanie Hadel Bahar & Partners	
Chile	37	Israel	112
Jorge Allende D and Fernando de Cárcer Carey & Allende Abogados		Amit Steinman and Guy Firer S Horowitz & Co	
China	44	Italy	120
Kevin Wang CMS, China		Fiorella Federica Alvino Ughi e Nunziante – Studio Legale	
Czech Republic	50	Japan	127
Rudolf Rentsch and Ladislav Vajdík Rentsch Legal		Takeshi Watanabe Anderson Mōri & Tomotsune	
Denmark	59	Korea	133
Peter Bruun Nikolajsen, Eskil Bielefeldt and Kristian Tokkesdal Delacour		Philippe Shin and Byung Tae Kim Shin & Kim	
France	66	Lebanon	139
Bernard Laurent-Bellue and Emmanuel Chauvet Vivien & Associés		Chadia El Meouchi and Samia El Meouchi Badri and Salim El Meouchi Law Firm	

Publisher

Gideon Robertson
gideon.roberton@lbresearch.com

Subscriptions

Rachel Nurse
subscriptions@gettingthedealthrough.com

Business development managers

George Ingledeu
george.ingledeu@lbresearch.com

Alan Lee
alan.lee@lbresearch.com


Dan White
dan.white@lbresearch.com



Published by
Law Business Research Ltd
 87 Lancaster Road
 London W11 1QQ, UK
 Tel: +44 20 7908 1188
 Fax: +44 20 7229 6910
 © Law Business Research Ltd 2014
 No photocopying: copyright licences do not apply.
 First published 2002
 Thirteenth edition 2014
 ISSN 1476-8127

The information provided in this publication is general and may not apply in a specific situation. Legal advice should always be sought before taking any legal action based on the information provided. This information is not intended to create, nor does receipt of it constitute, a lawyer-client relationship. The publishers and authors accept no responsibility for any acts or omissions contained herein. Although the information provided is accurate as of June 2014, be advised that this is a developing area.

Printed and distributed by
 Encompass Print Solutions
 Tel: 0844 2480 112



CONTENTS

<u>Luxembourg</u>	<u>151</u>	<u>Romania</u>	<u>189</u>	<u>Ukraine</u>	<u>228</u>
Candice Wiser and Philipp Moessner Bonn & Schmitt		Alexandru Ambrozie and Alexandra Niculae Popovici Nițu & Asociații		Maksym Cherkasenko and Lada Shelkownikova Arzinger	
<u>Macedonia</u>	<u>157</u>	<u>Singapore</u>	<u>196</u>	<u>United Kingdom</u>	<u>237</u>
Kristijan Polenak and Tatjana Shishkovska Polenak Law Firm		Leon Yee Duane Morris & Selvam LLP		Mark Bennett Slaughter and May	
<u>Mexico</u>	<u>168</u>	<u>South Africa</u>	<u>204</u>	<u>United States</u>	<u>262</u>
Diego Martinez Cervantes Sainz SC		J Michael Judin Goldman Judin Incorporated Attorneys		Holly J Gregory and Claire H Holland Sidley Austin LLP	
<u>Nigeria</u>	<u>174</u>	<u>Switzerland</u>	<u>210</u>	<u>Vietnam</u>	<u>276</u>
Tamuno Atekebo, Otome Okolo and Omolayo Longe Streamsowers & Köhn		Lorenzo Olgiati Schellenberg Wittmer Ltd		Hikaru Oguchi, Taro Hirosawa and Vu Le Bang Nishimura & Asahi	
<u>Portugal</u>	<u>182</u>	<u>Turkey</u>	<u>219</u>		
Paulo Bandeira SRS Advogados		Pelin Baysal, Filiz Toprak Esin and Görkem Bilgin Mehmet Gün & Partners			

Macedonia

Kristijan Polenak and Tatjana Shishkovska

Polenak Law Firm

Sources of corporate governance rules and practices

1 Primary sources of law, regulation and practice

What are the primary sources of law, regulation and practice relating to corporate governance?

The Law on Trade Companies, published in 2004 (LTC), and the Securities Law, published in 2005 (SL), are recognised as the primary sources of law relating to corporate governance.

The LTC allows for an adjustable structure in trade companies' management, by letting the company opt between a one-tier or two-tier management structure, subject to the application of mandatory rules for certain joint-stock companies. The LTC is the general law that stipulates the manner of establishment, structure and functioning of the management bodies of the companies. The subsequent changes in the LTC have strengthened the position of the shareholders' meeting, introduced independent directors and imposed the internal audit, as a separate organisational unit in the companies. With the most recent changes of the LTC, the protection of the shareholders is back in the focus. The shareholders' position is strengthened by granting them the right to challenge the interested-party transaction in a court procedure if, inter alia, the arm's-length principle in entering such a transaction was not obeyed, as well as by stipulating the requirement for mandatory external auditor's opinion as one of the conditions for approving an interested-party transaction for listed companies if certain thresholds are met.

The SL regulates the manner and conditions for the issuance and trading with shares, and sets the general legal framework of the capital market and of the licensed market participants, disclosure obligations of joint-stock companies with special reporting obligations, and other issues with regard to shares.

Another law important for corporate governance in Macedonia was the Takeover Law passed in 2002, which applied only to reporting companies. It regulates the manner and conditions for the purchase of shares by a person that has acquired or intends to acquire participation ensuring over 25 per cent of the voting rights deriving from the shares of a reporting company. In May 2013, the new Takeover Law was passed regulating the manner, the conditions and the procedure for takeover of shares issued by listed joint-stock companies and reporting companies, extending its application for a year after the companies delist or no longer meet the requirements for a reporting company. The new Takeover Law introduced thresholds of acquired voting shares of the target company for a mandatory bid. The trigger for a mandatory takeover bid is still acquisition of more than 25 per cent of the voting shares as the control takeover threshold. The additional takeover threshold is set as acquisition of an additional 5 per cent of the voting shares within a period of two years as of the successful takeover, and the final takeover threshold is at least 75 per cent of the voting shares of the target company acquired in the takeover procedure, after which the obligation for submission of a takeover bid terminates.

Established as an autonomous and independent regulatory body with public authorisations prescribed by the SL, the Law on Investment Funds and the Takeover Law, the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) passed a number of secondary legislation deriving from the laws mentioned above, further regulating the corporate governance.

In addition, the Corporate Governance Code for Companies listed on the Macedonian Stock Exchange (MSE) is based on the OECD Corporate Governance Principles and provides for the 'best-practice provisions' for the managers, directors and shareholders of the companies listed on the MSE. Though voluntary in nature, the 'comply-or-explain' principle imposes obligation for the listed companies to explain the level of compliance with the best-practice provisions and the reasons for non-compliance.

The MSE has also prescribed the Listing Rules for the companies, which sets out the basic conditions that have to be met for the listing on the MSE official market, as well as the ongoing disclosure requirements for the listed companies. The SL changes passed in January 2013 reintroduced mandatory listing for joint-stock companies that fall under the criteria set with the MSE Listing Rules. With this step the number of the listed companies whose corporate governance is affected by the obligation to comply with the MSE Listing Rules and continuously disclose and notify the MSE for any changes thereof qualified by SL and MSE Listing Rules as price sensitive information is significantly increased.

2 Responsible entities

What are the primary government agencies or other entities responsible for making such rules and enforcing them? Are there any well-known shareholder activist groups or proxy advisory firms whose views are often considered?

The assembly of the Republic of Macedonia adopts the statutory rules on corporate governance, by passing laws on the basis of proposals by the government.

There is no central agency for enforcement of corporate governance rules in Macedonia. Instead, most of the mandatory corporate governance rules are enforced through private litigation in civil courts.

The SEC has certain powers of enforcement in the context of securities trading and the disclosure obligations of reporting companies, taking into consideration its authorisation to monitor the legality and the efficiency of the capital market and the protection of investors' rights. The SEC acts ex officio or upon reports filed by shareholders or companies. The SL has introduced another mechanism for protection or implementation of the shareholders' rights related to trade transactions on the MSE, by providing for arbitration. The MSE has adopted the Arbitration Rules for resolving these disputes. Arbitration in settlement of disputes in connection with the company's charter is also stipulated with the LTC.

The MSE acts as a watchdog for the listed companies. The MSE Listing Rules has vested certain authorisations with the MSE if the

listed company does not comply with the disclosure requirements or has contravened the MSE Listing Rules, such as warning the listed company, publishing the fact that the listed company has been warned for contravening the MSE Listing Rules, suspending of the trading with a certain security as well as permanently excluding the securities from listing.

The rights and equitable treatment of shareholders

3 Shareholder powers

What powers do shareholders have to appoint or remove directors or require the board to pursue a particular course of action? What shareholder vote is required to elect directors?

The members of the board of directors in the one-tier system, and the members of the supervisory board in the two-tier system, are elected at the shareholders' meeting by a majority of the voting shares from the quorum of the meeting, unless a greater majority is stipulated by the charter, in the manner and pursuant to the terms stipulated by the charter. If stipulated by the charter, the election of the members of the board of directors or the supervisory board may be carried out by cumulative voting, thus allowing the minority shareholders to have their nominee elected.

Executive members of the company are elected from among the members of the board of directors. The manner of election of the executive members of the board of directors is determined by the company's charter. The resolution for election of the executive members of the board of directors may be adopted unanimously by all the members of the board of directors. One of the executive members of the board of directors may be appointed as executive director, chief executive officer or with other title that will be compatible with the performance of the function that the executive member of the board of directors has. If the board of directors has more than one executive member, the members of the board of directors, with majority of votes, determine which one of the executive members shall be responsible for employee-related matters and relations with the employees.

If the company opts for a two-tier management system, the management board members are elected by the supervisory board in a procedure stipulated by the company's charter.

The shareholders' meeting may dismiss all the members of the board of directors, the supervisory board, or a member thereof prior to the expiry of their term of office. The resolution for dismissal requires the same majority of the voting shares as in the case of electing these members, unless the company charter stipulates a greater majority. The charter may also stipulate additional terms for adoption of the resolution.

An executive member of the board of directors may be dismissed at any time by the board of directors, with or without an explanation, in which case the member shall be suspended until the next general meeting at which it shall be decided whether that member will be dismissed prior to the expiry of the term of office.

Shareholders representing at least one-tenth of the voting shares may request a meeting of the board of directors to be called. The request shall be submitted to the president of the board. If the president fails to call the meeting within 15 days after the filing of the written request, the members of the board of directors may call the meeting in the manner further provided in the LTC, thus allowing for the shareholders to have initiative rather than actual power to convene the meeting.

4 Shareholder decisions

What decisions must be reserved to the shareholders? What matters are required to be subject to a non-binding shareholder vote?

The LTC makes a clear distinction of the corporate governance roles by vesting the powers of the shareholders' meeting to only pass

resolutions upon issues expressly set out by the LTC or the charter, and excluding matters related to the operational governance or the management of the company's operations, which are under the competence of the management bodies, unless otherwise determined by the LTC.

The shareholders decide in particular about:

- the amendment of the charter;
- the approval of the annual accounts, financial statements and the annual report on the operations of the company for the preceding business year, and on the distribution of the profits;
- election and dismissal of members of the board of directors and of the supervisory board;
- approval of the operations and management of the company's business by the members of the management body and supervisory board;
- alteration of the rights attached to particular types and classes of shares;
- increase or decrease of the company's principal capital;
- issue of shares and other securities;
- appointment of the certified auditor to audit the annual accounts and other financial statements, if the company is obliged to prepare them; and
- transformation of the company into another form of company and reorganisation and termination of the company.

The shareholders' meeting approves interested-party transactions and major transactions, if the thresholds for these corporate transactions as stipulated for in the LTC or in the company's charter are met.

There are no matters that are subject to a non-binding shareholder vote; however, the management board (ie, executive members of the board of directors) may differ resolving certain issues relating to corporate governance, which is subject to obtaining prior approval by the board of directors or the supervisory board to the shareholders' meeting, when the board of directors or the supervisory board fails to grant its consent.

5 Disproportionate voting rights

To what extent are disproportionate voting rights or limits on the exercise of voting rights allowed?

The 'one share, one vote' principle applies to Macedonian joint-stock companies.

Preferred shares with disproportionate voting rights, owned by the Republic of Macedonia (state-owned shares), may grant specific rights under the condition of their issuance. By the entry into force of the LTC they cannot be transferred to third parties, unless they are converted into common shares.

The company may issue preferred shares as voting shares or as non-voting shares, provided that the total nominal value of the preferred non-voting shares cannot exceed 30 per cent of the principal capital of the company. The total nominal value of the preferred shares, including both voting and non-voting shares, cannot exceed the total nominal value of the common shares in the principal capital of the company.

Issuance of shares of the same type that confer different voting rights for an identical nominal value is prohibited.

Limits on the exercise of voting rights are determined within the LTC when the shareholders' meeting resolves to exempt a shareholder personally from a liability, payment of a receivable towards the company, or from obligations, or which grants the shareholder certain advantages or privileges by the company, or on a resolution to initiate court or other proceedings against the shareholder. In such cases, the shareholder cannot exercise its voting right personally or through a proxy representative.

If the shareholders' meeting is altering or restricting any right deriving from a certain type of shares, such resolution shall be

considered valid if the shareholders holding that respective type of share give their consent through the adoption of a resolution for consent, passed with a majority determined by the LTC or the charter. These shareholders may vote or consent at a separate meeting or at the same shareholders' meeting with other shareholders present, but through a separate vote.

Consent by the owners of preferred shares shall be required for a resolution that cancels a preferential right, as well as for the issue of preferred shares that have priority in the distribution of profit or when making payment of a part of the remainder of the liquidation or bankruptcy estate of the company.

6 Shareholders' meetings and voting

Are there any special requirements for shareholders to participate in general meetings of shareholders or to vote? Can shareholders act by written consent without a meeting?

Each shareholder who intends to participate in a shareholders' meeting is obliged to report its attendance at the meeting (registration for attendance) prior to the commencement of the scheduled meeting at the latest.

A list of registered shareholders is prepared by the management body and it is compared with the excerpt of the book of shares obtained from the Central Securities Depository (CSD) not later than 48 hours prior to the scheduled general meeting. This list is then signed by each present shareholder or his or her proxy representative, and it certifies his or her presence at the meeting (certified participant). Following the certification of the list, the chairman of the shareholders' meeting shall confirm that the meeting has an operating quorum.

The LTC stipulates the possibility for the reporting companies and listed companies to offer their shareholders at least one of the following means for participation in the shareholders' meeting:

- direct transmission of the meeting;
- two-way live audio and video communication, which allows shareholders to address the assembly from any remote location; and
- electronic means for voting, before or during the meeting, without the necessity to authorise a proxy who would attend the session.

It can be stipulated in the company's charter that the voting of the shareholders at the shareholders' meeting may be performed by phone or other electronic device that is a part of the public communication network. The requirement for such voting is to be determined, with absolute certainty, the identity of each shareholder, the voting right, the manner of establishment of communication network between the company and its shareholders that will make the voting available to each shareholder, and allow such voting to be recorded with certainty. The shareholder who votes by phone or by other electronic device is considered as present at the shareholders' meeting, ie, he or she will be considered as part of the quorum of the shareholders' meeting. The voting will be considered as null if the identity of the shareholder who voted by phone or other electronic device cannot be determined.

Voting by way of correspondence prior the day of the shareholders' meeting may be made available to the shareholders. Before allowing the shareholders to vote by correspondence, the company may first ask the shareholders to confirm their identity by submitting personal ID documentation in original or copy, and without the obligation for the relevant copy to be certified by notary public or by domestic or foreign state authority. The company may use its own system of registration of shareholders as substitute for the procedure of identification of shareholders described above.

The shareholders are entitled to exercise their voting rights either in person or to delegate them to an authorised proxy by written power of attorney. Unless otherwise stipulated by the LTC, the proxy

is given in written form, verified by a notary public. This requirement does not apply in reporting companies and listed companies where shareholders may appoint a proxy in writing without an obligation to verify by a notary. In such a case, the shareholder has to immediately notify the company for granting the proxy, default of which shall be considered that the proxy has not been granted.

In certain cases, the right to vote may not be exercised if the respective decision would lead to a conflict of interests for a particular shareholder, or if the decision concerns a possible claim against that shareholder.

7 Shareholders and the board

Are shareholders able to require meetings of shareholders to be convened, resolutions to be put to shareholders against the wishes of the board or the board to circulate statements by dissident shareholders?

The management body of the company is entitled to convene a shareholders' meeting, if the interests of the company so require. Though not directly entitled, the shareholders may submit a request to the management body for convening a meeting, if they hold at least one-tenth of the voting shares. The management body decides on the convening of the meeting within eight days of the receipt of such a request. If the request is submitted by shareholders who own a majority of the voting shares, then the failure of the management body or the supervisory board to convene a meeting within 24 hours of the request, entitles the shareholders to file a request to the court. The right to convene the shareholders' meeting by the court is granted to the shareholders if the management body has not decided to commence the meeting within the term of eight days.

Shareholders who individually or jointly own at least 5 per cent of the total number of voting shares may request an amendment to the agenda by adding new agenda items for the convened shareholders' meeting, while simultaneously providing an explanation for the proposed item or proposing a draft resolution on the proposed item, within eight days from the date of publication of the agenda for the meeting. Such a request cannot be refused, except in certain cases strictly determined by the LTC, such as missing the deadline, or if the item does not fall under the competence of the shareholders' meeting.

The LTC provisions governing the convening and holding of shareholders' meetings in reporting companies and listed companies require for the company to publish, without delay, the agenda and materials for the meeting, including draft resolutions proposed by the shareholders, on its website. The public announcement for convening shareholders' meetings in reporting companies and listed companies should contain a description of the procedures in accordance with which the shareholders participate and vote at the meeting of the assembly, and in particular how they can include points in the agenda of the assembly and propose resolutions, how the shareholders can raise questions to the company regarding the points of the agenda of the meeting of the assembly and information regarding the time period in which they can do so.

A shareholder or a group of shareholders holding at least 10 per cent of the principal capital of the company, based on suspicion of possible irregularities in the keeping of the trade books and the activities of the company, that is, suspicion that the company acts contrary to the provisions of the Law on Trade Companies, has the right to request the management body to convene a shareholders' meeting of the company at which an authorised auditor shall be appointed for performing audit, inspection, certification or related services within the scope of activities of the company regarding which the suspicion has been addressed in the request about the existence of possible irregularities. The shareholders may request the competent court to adopt a decision to appoint an authorised auditor if:

- the shareholders' meeting is not convened within a period of eight days as of the submission of the request referred to above;

- the shareholders' meeting refuses to appoint an authorized auditor; or
- the shareholders' meeting fails to adopt a decision for appointing an authorized auditor within a period of 60 days as of the submission of the request referred to above.

8 Controlling shareholders' duties

Do controlling shareholders owe duties to the company or to non-controlling shareholders? If so, can an enforcement action against controlling shareholders for breach of these duties be brought?

The controlling shareholders do not owe duties to the company or to the other shareholders.

However, the LTC prohibits the controlling shareholder as a parent company from using its influence in order to mislead the subsidiary into undertaking harmful legal affairs, or undertake or fail to undertake actions, unless the parent company assumes the obligation to compensate the controlled company for any damages. If it fails to compensate the company for damages, then the controlling shareholder shall be jointly and severally liable with the controlled company with regard to the third party.

Enforcement action in such a case may be initiated in the name and on behalf of the controlled company or individually, by the shareholders, regardless of the damages caused to them resulting from the damages caused to the company.

If the parent company misleads the subsidiary to undertake legal operations or actions, thereby causing irreparable damage or bankruptcy, the parent will be jointly and severally liable for the claims that can not be collected from the company.

If the controlling shareholder misleads the company into undertaking a legal operation or action, or failing to undertake such an action or operation, thereby causing damage to shareholders of a controlled company, the controlling shareholder and the company shall be jointly and severally liable for the shareholder's claims.

However, no liability for compensation shall arise if the management of the company has acted with due care and diligence, thus undertaking the legal transaction as any management of an independent company would have undertaken or failed to undertake an equivalent legal transaction or operation without being misled by the controlling shareholder.

9 Shareholder responsibility

Can shareholders ever be held responsible for the acts or omissions of the company?

Shareholders cannot generally be held responsible for the acts or omissions of the company. The company itself is only liable to third parties for the obligation it has incurred with all of its assets. Only in a few exceptional cases, which the LTC singles out as special liability of the shareholders for the obligations of the company, may they be held jointly and severally liable if there is a major violation of good faith principles or the company's legal form has been used in order to carry out transactions and pursue objectives prohibited to them as individuals, or in an abusive manner to harm creditors, or the company's assets were used as if they were their own, contrary to the law, or the company's assets were decreased for their own benefit or for the benefit of a third party when they were aware or should have been aware that the company was not capable of settling its liabilities to third parties.

Piercing the corporate veil, except in these exceptional cases determined by the LTC, is not possible.

Corporate control

10 Anti-takeover devices

Are anti-takeover devices permitted?

Anti-takeover devices are generally not permitted within the scope of the Takeover Law. Before a takeover bid is published, the management may implement a number of measures based on shareholders' resolutions. These measures are designed to protect the company in the event of a hostile takeover and may include:

- converting ordinary shares into preference shares without voting rights (up to 50 per cent of the registered share capital);
- issuing new preference shares or convertible bonds; and
- providing for increased majority requirements for the removal of members of the management and supervisory boards.

The management body of the target company, in the course of conducting the takeover bid procedure, must act in the interests of the company as a whole and must not dissuade the holders of securities from the possibility of deciding on the advantages of the takeover bid. It should prepare a document expressing its opinion about the effect of the implementation of the bid over the employment and business operations of the company as stated in the takeover bid and the reasons on the basis of which it is adopted.

Once a takeover bid is published, and the management receives notification from the bidder, the Takeover Law imposes restrictions on the actions of the management body of the target company, by prohibiting, without a resolution passed by at least a three-quarter majority of the shareholders votes that represent the principal capital of the company at the time of the adoption of the resolution:

- an increase in its principal capital;
- the undertaking of activities other than the company's regular operations;
- the undertaking of activities that might jeopardise the company's future operations;
- the acquiring of treasury shares or securities resulting in the right to exchange or acquire treasury shares; and
- the performance activities whose sole purpose is to obstruct or aggravate the procedure and acceptance of the takeover bid.

The resolutions of the management on matters stipulated above adopted before the announcement of the intention to take over that are not completely implemented require additional approval by the shareholders' meeting of the target company before their implementation by at least a three-quarter majority of the shareholders' votes, except in the case of resolutions that fall under the ordinary course of business of the company and whose implementation do not obstruct or aggravate the takeover bid.

11 Issuance of new shares

May the board be permitted to issue new shares without shareholder approval? Do shareholders have pre-emptive rights to acquire newly issued shares?

If provided in the company's charter, the management body may be authorised to increase the principal capital up to a certain nominal value (authorised capital) by the issue of new shares, for a maximum period of five years following the registration of the company's foundation, or five years following the entry of the resolution to amend the charter in the trade register if such possibility was not stipulated by the charter.

The nominal value of the authorised capital may not exceed half of the principal capital at the time when the authorisation for the conditional increase of the charter capital was granted.

New shares may be issued only if the consent of the majority of the non-executive board of director's members or the majority of the supervisory board members is provided. In such a case, it is

the provision in the company's charter that has the legal effect of a resolution to increase the capital.

A pre-emptive right to subscribe for new shares exists in the LTC, however the implementation of these provisions is postponed until the Republic of Macedonia assumes full membership in the EU. Therefore, for the time being, the shareholders do not have a pre-emptive right to acquire newly issued shares.

12 Restrictions on the transfer of fully paid shares

Are restrictions on the transfer of fully paid shares permitted, and if so what restrictions are commonly adopted?

There are no statutory restrictions on the possibility to transfer shares, provided that encumbrances registered in the account of the shareholder maintained by the CSD may contain such restriction.

There are certain regulatory requirements that have to be met in order to have a valid and legal transfer, such as that the trade transactions should be carried out on the MSE, or requested documents for execution of non-trading transfers to the CSD must be presented.

Any encumbrance on shares restricting ownership rights and changes in the shares ownership rights are recorded in the CSD in the account of the shareholder, and may arise only from the act of issuance, a pledge, an effective court decision, an act of the SEC or an act issued by the Public Revenue Office.

Only shares that are free of any liens and restrictions may be the subject of settlement of transactions, except when the restriction applies to voting rights or dividends or another restriction that is not related to disposition and that is limited by a decision of a competent authority or an authorised person. If any right arising from the ownership of securities is restricted and evidenced in the shareholders' book maintained by the CSD, such securities may not be part of the procedure of clearance and settlement.

13 Compulsory repurchase rules

Are compulsory share repurchases allowed? Can they be made mandatory in certain circumstances?

There are no compulsory share repurchase rules.

The company may acquire treasury shares by way of repurchase, either itself or through a third party acting in its name but on behalf of the company, the validity of which is subject to the following conditions:

- a resolution for the acquisition of shares by repurchase should be granted by the shareholders' meeting, determining the manner of repurchase, the maximum number of shares to be acquired, the time period in which the repurchase shall be executed, which shall not be longer than a year from the date of adopting the resolution on the acquisition of the company's treasury shares, and the minimum and maximum value that may be paid for the shares;
- the nominal value of the acquired shares, including the shares the company has previously acquired or which are in possession of the company, shall not exceed one-tenth of the principal capital;
- the acquisition of the company's treasury shares shall not lead to the decrease of the assets of the company below the amount of the charter capital and the reserves, which, pursuant to the law or the charter, the company is obliged to maintain, and which shall not be used for payments to the shareholders; and
- only shares fully paid may be acquired via repurchase.

As an exemption, the company may acquire treasury shares when such acquisition is necessary in order to prevent serious and imminent damage to the company. The management body is authorised to adopt the resolution on such acquisition and are obliged to inform the shareholders' meeting at its next meeting of the reasons and the objectives of the implemented acquisition of treasury shares.

The share repurchase shall be carried out without application of the requirements determined above:

- if, on the basis of a resolution of the shareholders' assembly, the withdrawal of the shares is carried out in connection with the procedure for reduction of the principal capital;
- free of charge or when a bank, investment fund or other financial institution purchases shares in its own name out of the commission obtained from the purchase of the shares;
- as a consequence of the universal succession of the assets;
- in the enforcement procedure for settling of a company's claim on the basis of a court decision;
- as a consequence of the universal succession of the assets;
- in the enforcement procedure for settling of a company's claim on the basis of a court decision;
- in the case of a merger, accession and division, and during transformation of the company, if the company is obliged to repurchase the shares of the shareholders who have not accepted the offer to receive shares (mandatory buy-back);
- in the case of exclusion of a shareholder;
- on the basis of an obligation stipulated in law or on the basis of a court decision; and
- as compensation for a debt or in a procedure of reorganisation of the debtor in accordance with the Law on Bankruptcy.

The company may be authorised by its charter to issue shares with the right of the company to repurchase such issued shares within a certain time period. The repurchase shall be valid if the following conditions are met:

- the terms and the manner of repurchase must be stipulated by the company charter;
- the shareholders' meeting shall adopt a resolution on the repurchase of such shares prior to their subscription;
- the shares should be paid up in full;
- the repurchase shall only be effected by funds that exceed the amount of the charter capital plus the reserves that may not be distributed to the shareholders under the LTC and the charter; and
- an amount that is not less than the nominal value of the issued shares shall be set aside into a reserve that shall not be distributed, under the LTC and the charter, except in the case of a decrease of the charter capital.

14 Dissenters' rights

Do shareholders have appraisal rights?

Under the LTC, shareholders have appraisal rights in certain situations – in the procedure for reorganisation of the company and the transformation of the company.

In certain cases of company reorganisation (acquisitions, mergers or changes of legal form), a shareholder can sell his or her shares to the reorganised company for an appropriate cash compensation if the shareholder has formally objected to the reorganisation on the shareholders' meeting. A company shall buy back the shares at a price based on the adopted balance sheet as determined in the resolution for the transformation of a company (offered price) from a shareholder who, by way of a written statement, objected to the transformation of the company.

In a case of reorganisation, the shareholders are entitled to a court examination of the exchange ratio if the ratio has been determined to be too low, in which an additional payment may be requested that shall not exceed 10 per cent of the nominal value of the exchanged shares.

The adequacy of the cash compensation must be reviewed by the official auditor of the reorganisation.

Any dissenting shareholder can file an application with the court in order to assess the appropriate sum.

In squeeze-out proceedings, the minority shareholders must be granted appropriate compensation for their shares, under the same conditions under which the takeover was carried out.

The responsibilities of the board (supervisory)

15 Board structure

Is the predominant board structure for listed companies best categorised as one-tier or two-tier?

The predominant board structure for listed companies is the one-tier structure.

The predominant board structure for listed companies was affected once the mandatory listing was carried out by the MSE as of 30 April 2013. Out of 82 companies listed on the mandatory listing trading tier, 54 companies have a one-tier management system and the other 28 have a two-tier management system.

16 Board's legal responsibilities

What are the board's primary legal responsibilities?

The board of directors manages the company within the scope of the authorisations provided for by the law and the charter and the authorisations expressly granted by the shareholders' meeting. The board of directors has the broadest authorisations in managing the company within its scope of operations and acts, in all circumstances, on behalf of the company, except for matters falling within the authorisations explicitly granted to its non-executive members.

With the exception of the authorisations explicitly granted to the board of directors pursuant to the law, the executive members manage the company's operations and have the broadest authorisations to undertake all matters related to the management, implementation of the board of directors resolutions and execution of the day-to-day activities of the company, as well as to act on behalf of the company in all circumstances. The board of directors entrusts the representation of the company in relations with third parties to its executive members. The non-executive members, in addition to the authorisations provided for by the LTC concerning the exercise of the right of supervision over the executive members' management, is entitled to inspect and verify the books and documents of the company as well as its assets and, in particular, the petty cash of the company and its securities and goods.

In the two-tier management system, the management board undertakes all matters related to the management, the implementation of resolutions and the execution of the day-to-day activities of the company, as well as acting on behalf of the company in all circumstances, while the supervisory function is vested in the supervisory board.

There are certain issues upon which the management board cannot resolve without obtaining prior consent of the board of directors or supervisory board, respectively. These concern the registered scope of activities or the establishment or termination of long-term cooperation or capital investments that involve more than 10 per cent of the income of the company.

17 Board obligees

Whom does the board represent and to whom does it owe legal duties?

The management board (ie, the executive members of the board of directors) represent the company in relation to third parties while the supervisory board (ie, the non-executive members of the board of directors) represents the company in relation to its management board. All members of the management board (ie, the board of directors) are under a general duty to manage the company with the due care of a prudent and diligent manager and in the best interests of the company and all the shareholders. The supervisory board is

also under a general duty to control the management, which it owes to the company and its shareholders.

The duties of the management board and of the supervisory board (ie, the board of directors) are primarily owed to the company and are carried out in the interests of all shareholders.

18 Enforcement action against directors

Can an enforcement action against directors be brought by, or on behalf, of those to whom duties are owed?

Members of the management body who violate their legal duties by failing to apply the care of a prudent and diligent manager are jointly and severally liable to the company for damages caused, unless the respective action was based on a legal and valid resolution of the general meeting, or the member of the management body has opposed such a resolution and voted against the course of action. Under specific conditions stipulated in the LTC, shareholders may file for a claim for the damages suffered by the company by the management bodies. The non-executive members of the board of directors, or the members of the supervisory board, shall be jointly and severally liable with the executive members of the board of directors or the members of the management board for the damage caused, if they failed to act with due care and diligence when giving their prior consent.

Neither the management body nor the supervisory board, however, can be held liable for the poor performance of the company based on entrepreneurial business decisions taken with the due care of responsible managers, even if these decisions subsequently turn out to be failures (business judgement rules).

19 Care and prudence

Do the board's duties include a care or prudence element?

All members of the management bodies are under a general duty to fulfil their duties pursuant to the authorisations granted to them by the law or charter, in the interests of the company and all the shareholders with the due care of a prudent and diligent manager.

Set as a legal standard, due care and diligence determines the responsibilities of persons in charge of the management and supervision of companies and the care which these persons should apply while executing entrusted tasks in the company and the requirement that they act in a diligent manner (in the operations of the company) as skilled (professional) persons, pursuant to which they shall be liable for negligent behaviour while executing operations with which they have been entrusted, unless another law specifies that they shall only be liable for gross negligence.

20 Board member duties

To what extent do the duties of individual members of the board differ?

Formally, all members of the management bodies represent and manage the company collectively and are jointly responsible for all business areas, irrespective of individual skills and experience. Internally, however, the members of the management bodies are in most cases entrusted with different operational responsibilities.

The Corporate Governance Code recommends making provision for the division of duties within the management body or the supervisory board and describing the procedure of the management body or the supervisory board in the charter and other acts of the company. Also, it is recommended that the board of directors or the supervisory board should include in its regulations a paragraph dealing with its relations with the management board or executive directors, the external certified auditor and the shareholders' meeting.

It further recommends that the management body or the supervisory board defines and proposes a profile of its members and the size and composition of the management body or the supervisory board, taking into account the nature of the business, its activities

and the desired expertise and background of members of the management body or the supervisory board. At least one of the non-executive members of the board of directors or one member of the supervisory board must be a financial expert. The annual report should disclose the name of this member of the management body or supervisory board.

21 Delegation of board responsibilities

To what extent can the board delegate responsibilities to management, a board committee or board members, or other persons?

Members of the management body or supervisory board may not transfer his or her authorisations to another member of the management body or supervisory board.

When performing duties granted pursuant to the law and the company's charter, the member of the management body or the supervisory board may rely on the information, opinions or reports prepared by independent legal advisers, independent authorised accountants and certified auditors and other persons, believed to be trustworthy and competent for the matters they perform, but this shall not exempt the member from the obligation to act with due care and diligence.

22 Non-executive and independent directors

Is there a minimum number of 'non-executive' or 'independent' directors required by law, regulation or listing requirement? If so, what is the definition of 'non-executive' and 'independent' directors and how do their responsibilities differ from executive directors?

A board of directors may have a minimum of three and a maximum of 15 members. The general rule is for non-executive members to outnumber executive members. If the board of directors has up to four non-executive members, at least one of them shall be an independent member. If the board of directors has more than four non-executive members, at least a quarter of them shall be independent members of the board of directors. The same ratio applies for the supervisory board structure.

'Independent non-executive member' means a natural person who, and whose family members:

- have not had any material interest or business relation with the company directly as a business partner, a member of the management body, supervisory body or an officer of the company within the five preceding years;
- have not, within the five preceding years, received and do not receive from the company any additional income to his or her salary;
- are not relatives of any of the members of the management body, supervisory board or the officers of the company; and
- are not shareholders who own more than one-tenth of the shares in the company or who represent such a shareholder.

The definition of the 'non-executive member of the board of directors' stipulates that such a member is a natural person, a member of the board of directors who has no executive function in the company and whose powers refer primarily to the general governance and supervision over the management of the company.

General governance and supervision over the management of the company is the distinction with the responsibilities of the executive directors.

23 Board composition

Are there criteria that individual directors or the board as a whole must fulfil? Are there any disclosure requirements relating to board composition? Are there minimum and maximum numbers of seats on the board? How is the size of the board determined? Who is authorised to make appointments to fill vacancies on the board?

Prior to the election of a member of the board of directors or the supervisory board, certain disclosure requirements should be met by publishing, in writing, data regarding the age, gender, education and other professional qualifications, working experience and how it was gained, in which companies he or she is or has been a member of the management body or the supervisory board and other important positions held by him or her, the number of shares he or she owns in the company and in other companies, as well as loans and other liabilities owed towards the company.

Members of the management bodies of the reporting companies have an obligation to disclose to the SEC any shareholding they have in the company, as well as any further changes by submitting an ownership report.

Listed companies have further disclosure requirements for their members of the management bodies, related to the number of shares with voting rights as well as the percentage of the total number of shares issued by the company that they represent, within 14 days of their election, as well as ongoing disclosure requirements for the sale of company shares by the members of the management bodies of the value of €10,000 or higher during one trading day, the cumulative value of all purchases (or sales) of shares of the value of €10,000 or more within 30 calendar days, and every purchase or sale of shares representing 0.5 per cent of the total voting shares of the company.

The Central Registry of the Republic of Macedonia maintains a register on persons who cannot be members of management bodies. The negative criteria imposed by the LTC, which are the basis for entry in this registry, are related to previous managing functions in insolvent companies until bankruptcy proceedings have been initiated, as well as anyone who has been found guilty with enforceable court decisions of false bankruptcy or damaging creditors and who have been punished with a ban on performing such an activity, profession or duty, while the legal consequences of such a ban are still in force.

A board of directors may have a minimum of three and a maximum of 15 members, while in the one-tier management system, the number of the members of the management board and the supervisory board is at least three and a maximum of 11 members in each management board and the supervisory board. Notwithstanding the above, the companies which have principal capital lower than €150,000 may appoint a manager instead of a management board. The structure of the board of directors that is the management board and the supervisory board is determined by the company's charter.

If certain members of the board of directors that is the supervisory board stop to perform their duties during their mandate, or there is an obstacle to their performing their duties, the other members continue with the work of the relevant board until the fulfilment of the empty spot by the shareholders' meeting. If the number of members of the board of director that is the supervisory board is decreased under the minimum determined with the charter, but no lower than minimum required by the law, the board of directors that is the supervisory board may, in the period of 90 days from the day of termination of the function of the relevant member, fill the empty spot by appointment of acting director – a member of the board of directors that is the supervisory board until the following shareholders' meeting. The resolutions passed by the board of directors that is the supervisory board during this period shall remain valid. If the number of members of the board of directors that is the supervisory board decreases below the minimum required by law, the remaining members must, within a period of three days, convene a shareholders' meeting in order for the number of members of the board

of directors that is the supervisory board to be in accordance with the law. If the shareholders' meeting is not convened in the three-day period, than the meeting shall be convened by the nonexecutive members of the board of directors that is the management board, within a period of three days from the expiration of the previously given period. If the number of the members of the board of directors that is the supervisory board is not filled in the manner described above and within the deadlines provided by law, then any person with legal interest may request the court to appoint an individual who will convene the shareholders' meeting for appointment of a member of the board of directors that is the supervisory board.

24 Board leadership

Do law, regulation, listing rules or practice require separation of the functions of board chairman and CEO? If flexibility on board leadership is allowed, what is generally recognised as best practice and what is the common practice?

Corporate governance rules in Macedonia require separation of the functions of board chairman and CEO. In companies with a one-tier management system, the president of the board of directors (board chairman) is elected from the non-executive members of the board of directors. One of the executive members of the board of directors, may bear the title that is typically associated with the performance of his or her duties (general director, or chief executive director, or other appropriate titles), and the other executive members may bear the title that is typically associated with the performance of their duties, entrusted to them as executive members of the board of directors.

In the two-tier management system, the management board and the supervisory board have its own president. The president of the management board is appointed by the supervisory board, coordinates the work of the management board and assumes certain representative functions.

The company charter may provide for additional rights and responsibilities of the presidents of the managing bodies and the supervisory board, such as a casting vote in the case of a tie.

25 Board committees

What board committees are mandatory? What board committees are allowed? Are there mandatory requirements for committee composition?

There are no mandatory board committees.

In accordance with the LTC, the management body or the supervisory board may establish one or more committees from among its members and other persons. The committees shall neither decide on issues falling under the competence of the management body or the supervisory board, nor shall their rights and liabilities be transferable. The composition, terms, the scope and the manner of operations of such committees shall be regulated in detail by the charter and the by-laws of the company adopted in accordance with the charter. All activities of the committees shall be subject to approval by the management body or the supervisory board.

The Corporate Governance Code entitles the board of directors or the supervisory board to consider whether to appoint a selection and nomination committee, an audit committee and a remuneration committee. Its best-practice provisions stipulate that the members of the committees appointed by the board of directors or the supervisory board cannot be executive members of the board of directors or management board members. Within the committees, at least one of the members is an independent member of the board of directors or the supervisory board.

26 Board meetings

Is a minimum or set number of board meetings per year required by law, regulation or listing requirement?

The LTC obliges the board of directors and the supervisory board to convene at least four regular meetings during the year, one every three months, provided that one of the meetings is convened within one month prior to convening the annual general meeting of shareholders.

27 Board practices

Is disclosure of board practices required by law, regulation or listing requirement?

The board of directors and the supervisory board must present a written report to the annual general meeting of the shareholders setting forth, inter alia, how and to what extent it has supervised the activities of the management body during the financial year.

The executive members of the board of directors and the members of the management board submit a written report on the operations of the company to the board of directors or the supervisory board at least once every three months and they shall also submit annual accounts, annual financial statements and an annual report on the company's operations, following the expiry of the business year.

Upon request by the non-executive members of the board of directors or the supervisory board, the executive members of the board of directors and the members of the management board shall prepare a special report on the state of affairs of the company or on particular issues related to its operations.

28 Remuneration of directors

How is remuneration of directors determined? Is there any law, regulation, listing requirement or practice that affects the remuneration of directors, the length of directors' service contracts, loans to directors or other transactions between the company and any director?

The statutory provisions determine that the shareholders' meeting must pass a resolution specifying the monthly lump sum or lump sum per meeting of the non-executive members of the board of directors or the supervisory board members. The non-executive members of the board of directors or the supervisory board members have the right to reimbursement of all their expenses incurred (travel and other expenses), a right to life insurance and other types of insurance, as well as other rights related to the performance of their function (usage of the business premises, necessary assets for operation, etc).

The executive members of the board of directors and the members of the management board are entitled to a salary, or a monthly remuneration, a right to life insurance and other types of insurance, compensation of travel and other expenses and other rights. Regarding specially entrusted matters, performed for the company by a member of the management body or a member of the board of directors, an additional bonus may be granted to that member and paid out of the operating costs.

The company may not grant a loan or a credit to a member of the management body or the supervisory board, their close family members, or to a member of the management body or the supervisory board of a controlled company or to their close family members. The prohibition shall not apply to the obligations assumed by the company pursuant to the managerial agreement if a resolution has been approved by the shareholders' meeting to this effect with a two-thirds majority of the voting shares represented at the general meeting.

The members of the management bodies are elected for a term as stipulated in the company charter, which cannot be longer than six years. If the company charter does not stipulate the term of office, then it is a legal assumption that they are elected for a term of four years.

Transactions between the company in which the members of the management bodies and the supervisory board members have an interest are considered interested-party transactions, for which a special corporate approval procedure applies. A default in the procedure for approving the transaction may lead to its nullity, and exposes the interested parties to liability for damages if the transaction is proved to be harmful for the company.

29 Remuneration of senior management

How is the remuneration of the most senior management determined? Is there any law, regulation, listing requirement or practice that affects the remuneration of senior managers, loans to senior managers or other transactions between the company and senior managers?

There are no requirements regarding the remuneration of senior management. The company cannot grant credit to members of the board of directors, the supervisory or management board or related parties. Exceptions are stipulated obligations undertaken with the management contract by a resolution of the shareholders' assembly, with a two-thirds majority of the votes.

Transactions between the company and senior managers are subject to interested-party transaction provisions. General conflict-of-interest provisions apply.

30 D&O liability insurance

Is directors' and officers' liability insurance permitted or common practice? Can the company pay the premiums?

The company may agree to pay insurance premiums as part of its contractual arrangements with the directors or officers. Liability insurance is not restricted but is rare in practice. It is also subject to the availability of products by the local insurance companies.

31 Indemnification of directors and officers

Are there any constraints on the company indemnifying directors and officers in respect of liabilities incurred in their professional capacity? If not, are such indemnities common?

Generally, only the company can be held liable by third parties for the actions of its management on behalf of the company. Personal liability of management body members in relation to third parties is very rare and mainly limited to damages from tort and breach of certain statutory management duties with gross negligence.

If a member of the management body grossly violates his or her obligation to act with due care and diligence, the creditors of the company may request compensation for damages if they fail to settle their claims against the company.

32 Exculpation of directors and officers

To what extent may companies or shareholders preclude or limit the liability of directors and officers?

The LTC stipulates joint and several liability of the management body members for the damage caused as joint debtors towards the company if they violate their obligations and fail to operate and act with due care and diligence. If a member of the management body grossly violates his or her obligation to act with due care and diligence, the creditors of the company may request compensation for damages if they fail to settle their claims against the company. The non-executive members of the board of directors or the members of the supervisory board shall be jointly and severally liable with the executive members of the board of directors or the members of the management board for the damage caused if they failed to act with due care and diligence when giving their prior consent.

Liability in relation to the company cannot be precluded or limited, either in the charter or in a private agreement.

However, the member of the management body who acted on the basis of a resolution adopted by the shareholders' meeting although he or she had pointed out that the resolution was contrary to the law, as well as the member of the management body who objected to the resolution by setting out his or her opinion in the minutes of the meeting of the management body in a separate manner and voting against the resolution, shall not be held liable.

Under the Law on Obligations, the company is liable towards third parties for the damage caused by its management bodies in the performance of their functions in the management of the company. If the damage is caused by wilful action or gross negligence, the company is entitled to compensation from the member of the management bodies who caused the damage to the third party.

Further, the liability of employees in relation to their company can be limited as long as the employee acts within his or her professional capacity. If these conditions are met, an employee can also be entitled to be discharged from third-party liability by the company. If the damage is caused by wilful action or gross negligence, the company is entitled to compensation from the employee who caused the damage to the third party. Since members of the management bodies (executive members or the management board members) are usually employees of the company, these principles apply to them.

33 Employees

What role do employees play in corporate governance?

When determining the management systems of the joint-stock company, the LTC stipulates that the participation of the employees in the management of the company shall be stipulated by law.

However, there is no such law adopted as yet, therefore the employees' participation in the corporate governance is not yet regulated by Macedonian law.

There are provisions in the LTC that stipulate the possibility for the company in its charter to create a fund from which the employees can acquire shares in the company for free or at a discount price, up to one-tenth of the principal capital of the company. This option for the companies has been effective from 1 January 2012, and it was intended to have employees as active participants in the shareholding structure of the company through their participation in and voting at the shareholders' meeting. However, up to the present time, there is no relevant practice to show whether this provision has been implemented by companies.

Disclosure and transparency

34 Corporate charter and by-laws

Are the corporate charter and by-laws of companies publicly available? If so, where?

The company is obliged to keep the charter and the other by-laws and all amendments thereto along with the consolidated texts at the company's premises, and each shareholder is entitled to inspect the corporate documents of the company, in a manner set forth in the company charter.

A copy of the company charter may be obtained from the trade registry maintained by the Central Registry of the Republic of Macedonia; however, there is no requirement to publicly disclose the by-laws of the companies.

35 Company information

What information must companies publicly disclose? How often must disclosure be made?

The disclosure requirements of a company depend on the status the company has in accordance with the SL, whether it is a listed company, reporting company or joint-stock company that is not registered in the register of joint-stock companies with special reporting obligations maintained by SEC.

Update and trends

Interested-party transactions are in focus supported by minority shareholder rights protection. A derivative law suit may be filed by a shareholder for annulment of the interested-party transaction and damage compensation if the shareholder considers that the company has suffered damage by the existence of an obvious disproportion between the mutual contributions and the actions resulting from the interested-party transaction, which is also unfair, ie, the value of the transaction is not established according to market conditions. This right is statute barred after one year from the date the shareholder became aware of the existence of such agreement, ie, after three years from the date of approval of the interested-party transaction in accordance with the provisions of the Law on Trade Companies.

With the most recent changes in the Law on Trade Companies, corporate bodies of companies that are in dominant or full ownership of the Republic of Macedonia are in focus. Namely, special conditions are introduced with regard to education, professional experience and language skills for electing a member of the board of directors, in a one-tier management system, that is, a member of the management board and a supervisory board, in a two-tier management system, of a company which is in dominant or full ownership of the Republic of Macedonia. Thus far, special criteria for electing a member of the corporate governance bodies were only given by laws regulating special types of companies in the financial sector, such as the Banking Law and Law on Insurance Supervision. The changes introduced in February 2014 will start to apply within one year from the date the changes entered into force.

Shareholder activism

Shareholders are becoming proactive in exercising their right to be informed and to inspect the acts and documents of the company that it is obliged to keep by law:

- the statute and the other acts, as well as their amendments, together with the consolidated text;
- the minutes and all other documents from the shareholders' meetings;
- the minutes and the decisions from the meetings of the management body that is the supervisory board;
- the annual accounts and the financial reports that have to be kept in accordance with law;
- all attachments (documents and proofs) submitted to the trade register;
- all public announcements and prospectuses for issuance of shares and other securities of the company;
- total written communication of the company with its shareholders;
- an updated list of names and addresses of all elected members of the management body that is the supervisory board;
- pledge and mortgage documents;
- the reports of the authorised auditor and of the authorised appraiser;
- the voting ballots and letter of attorneys for participation at the shareholders' meetings in original or copy;
- the collective agreement on the company's level;
- the complete documentation related to the approval of interested-party transactions; and
- other acts and documents anticipated by law and statute.

The information reporting companies disclose includes:

- the annual financial statements, the management reports and interim reports;
- the issuance of a new shares and dividends policy;
- information on certain shareholding thresholds being exceeded by a single shareholder (5 per cent of the voting shares), and information regarding the members of the management bodies, including their respective percentage ownership in the principal capital; and
- information about interested-party transactions entered into by members of the management board or the supervisory board and the affiliated entities of the company.

Reporting companies comply with the disclosure requirements by submitting to the SEC annual and semi-annual reports. Such a company must also immediately disclose any price-sensitive information, that is, all circumstances that are not yet public knowledge, but which may have a significant influence on the share price if they become public information (ad hoc disclosure). Listed and specific other corporations must annually deliver to the MSE a comprehensive report whether and to what extent the company complies with the recommendations of the Corporate Governance Code and give reasons in the case that recommendations were not applied (compliance statement).

In general, the disclosure requirements of the listed companies depend on which trading tier on the official MSE market their shares are listed, as the MSE Listing Rules stipulate specific disclosure obligations for certain companies. For example, joint-stock companies that should be listed on the mandatory listing trading tier are obliged to continuously disclose without any delay any changes in their capital structure, issuance of new shares, changes of the rights attached to the issued shares, purchase and sale of the treasury shares, significant changes in the financial standing, publication of a dividend calendar, notifications regarding publicly held shares, etc. These companies should further publish a notification regarding all changes in ownership in which certain owners have acquired 5 per cent of the voting shares. This notification must state the identity of the new owners, the number of shares, as well as the new percentage of voting rights.

Joint-stock companies that are not listed on the MSE and are not registered as reporting companies are obliged to publish data concerning total revenues, before tax, profit for the financial year, net cash flow, profit per share for the financial year and dividend per share, changes in ownership structure over 10 per cent, reorganisation of the company, changes in management and governance, new issuance of shares as well as price-sensitive information on the web page of the MSE.

Hot topics

36 Say-on-pay

Do shareholders have an advisory or other vote regarding executive remuneration? How frequently may they vote?

Under the LTC, the shareholders generally do not have a say in the determination of executive remuneration, the only exception being when deciding on the executive members or the manager's right to participate in the profit. Such participation, as a general principal, consists of a share in the annual profit of the company (payment in cash, shares, royalties, bonuses or in another manner).

The approved participation in the annual profit of the company shall be calculated on the basis of the portion of the annual profit of the company that remains after the reduction of the realised profit for the amount of the total losses transferred from the previous years, and the amounts are set aside as legal and statutory reserves. A resolution contrary to this provision shall be null and void. Though not explicitly stipulated, from the manner in which the approved participation is determined it is evident that the shareholders may resolve upon on the annual meeting of the assembly.

Further involvement of the shareholders in the executive remuneration may be stipulated in the managerial contract, by determining the situations when the financial condition of the company shall be deemed to be significantly deteriorated, due to which the earnings of the executives present a burden to the company and on the basis of which the shareholders' meeting, the non-executive members of the board of directors, or the supervisory board may reduce the total earnings and other rights of the member of the management body.

The remuneration of the members of the board of directors and supervisory board is subject to regulation in the charter or a

shareholders' resolution. There is no explicit provision determining the frequency of voting when resolving on the remuneration of the members of the board of directors and supervisory board.

37 Proxy solicitation

Do shareholders have the ability to nominate directors without incurring the expense of proxy solicitation?

Any shareholder may nominate directors in the joint-stock company. Considering the majority for election of the members of the board of

directors in the one-tier system, and the members of the supervisory board in the two-tier system as a majority of the voting shares from the quorum of the meeting, it is most unlikely that without the required majority owned by the nominating shareholder the nominee would be elected. If stipulated by the charter, the election of the members of the board of directors or the supervisory board may be carried out by cumulative voting, thus allowing the minority shareholders to have their nominee elected.



Kristijan Polenak
Tatjana Shishkovska

kristijan@polenak.com
tsiskovska@polenak.com

98 Orce Nikolov
1000 Skopje
Macedonia

Tel: +389 2 3114 737
Fax: +389 2 3120 420
www.polenak.com

GETTING THE DEAL THROUGH

Annual volumes published on:

Acquisition Finance
Advertising & Marketing
Air Transport
Anti-Corruption Regulation
Anti-Money Laundering
Arbitration
Asset Recovery
Banking Regulation
Cartel Regulation
Climate Regulation
Construction
Copyright
Corporate Governance
Corporate Immigration
Data Protection & Privacy
Debt Capital Markets
Dispute Resolution
Domains & Domain Names
Dominance
e-Commerce
Electricity Regulation
Enforcement of Foreign Judgments
Environment
Foreign Investment Review
Franchise
Gas Regulation
Insurance & Reinsurance
Insurance Litigation
Intellectual Property & Antitrust
Investment Treaty Arbitration
Islamic Finance & Markets
Labour & Employment
Licensing
Life Sciences
Mediation
Merger Control
Mergers & Acquisitions
Mining
Oil Regulation
Outsourcing
Patents
Pensions & Retirement Plans
Pharmaceutical Antitrust
Private Antitrust Litigation
Private Client
Private Equity
Product Liability
Product Recall
Project Finance
Public Procurement
Real Estate
Restructuring & Insolvency
Right of Publicity
Securities Finance
Shipbuilding
Shipping
Tax Controversy
Tax on Inbound Investment
Telecoms and Media
Trade & Customs
Trademarks
Vertical Agreements



**For more information or to
purchase books, please visit:**
www.gettingthedealthrough.com



Strategic Research Partner of the
ABA Section of International Law



THE QUEEN'S AWARDS
FOR ENTERPRISE:
2012



Official Partner of the Latin American
Corporate Counsel Association